

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

United States of America,

CRIMINAL CASE – 18-218 (TSC)

v

Mariia Butina, aka  
Maria Butina,

DEFENDANT

AMICUS BRIEF AND RELATED CASE NOTICE

**Brief Author: Todd Steven Glassey, a US Citizen**

**Opening Perjury Declaration under US Federal Perjury Statute**

I declare the following 10 numbered declarations are made under the penalty of perjury of the Laws of the United States of America, and that they are true and correct, and with regard to matters which I rely on Information and Belief, that they are also true and correct. Further, I declare under that same umbrella, that I am not acting as an Agent of the Russian Government under 18 USC §§ 371 or a party to any conspiracy (971(a)) as alleged in this complaint.

Finally, that my sole purpose in submitting this brief, is to bring the Courts attention and recognition of the effects of USDC 14-03629/WHA and its Ruling & Appeals in both the Ninth (14-17574) and this very Circuit \*(15-01326) and Transactional Immunity granted to any and all parties in their use of the materials that matter pertained to.

### **Scope of Brief**

This brief pertains to a matter in this very court, wherein a Russian Citizen, Maria Butina is charged with several crimes, including Conspiracy and failure to register as an Agent of a Foreign Government. Both counts in this matter pertain to illegally obtained Evidence which has transactional immunity preventing its use in such a matter. As such FBI's original brief and affidavit seeking the original criminal complaint is invalid because it fails to factor in this immunity affirmed by this very Circuit Appellate Court in 15-01326.

### **The Testimony of the Brief**

1) I declare that it is my understanding that "Under the effects of the US DOJ Immunity Program around the US6370629 Patent (the Location Based Services and Timestamping **Standards Enabling Patent** [SEP])", that "any and all uses of Software derived from or which relies on US6370629s methods, 'carries transactional immunity which is transcendental in form'", which is to say "using it shields the users from any and all criminal and related civil claims against their actions".

2) I declare further that I understand that this Immunity was granted by US DOJ formally and was extended originally in 2003 under the cover of the Microsoft Antitrust Provisional Settlement and affirmed by the Appellate of the DC Circuit in the early 2000's, as part of the BROWSER ANTITRUST IMMUNITY GRANT.

3) I declare as well that "The scope and expanse of the Immunity has extended today to include all Money Laundering, Dark Web and related matters, any and all Email, or digital communications captured under Surveillance Warrants issued in this and the related FISA Court". It also pertains and

includes to the same materials “created or captured in the seven Jurisdictions which US DOJ granted US Citizens and Companies immunity for said filing and unlawful abandonments of illegally filed US6370629 instances in AU, BR, CA, EU/UK, KO, JP, and ZA jurisdictions” as shown in the following chart:

US6370629 Foreign Filing Dates

| App/Patent Number | Nation       | Filing Date | Authorize Date            | Status    | Publication Date |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| AU54015/99        | Australia    | 10/14/99    | None                      | Abandoned |                  |
| CA2287596         | Canada       | 10/26/99    | None                      | Abandoned |                  |
| EU0997808A3       | EU           | 10/27/99    | None                      | Abandoned | 04/23/03         |
| BR9904979A        | Brazil       | 10/29/99    | None                      | Abandoned | 12/19/00         |
| ZA9906799         | South Africa | 10/29/99    | 5/2000 but never paid for | Abandoned | 06/21/00         |
| JP2000-163379     | Japan        | 10/29/99    | None                      | Abandoned | 06/16/00         |
| KO2000-0035093    | South Korea  | 10/28/99    | None                      | Abandoned | 06/26/00         |

4) I declare that the IMPACT of this is that “no evidence from any EMAIL, TELEPHONE SURVEILLANCE, or related seizures of Computing Devices can be used in any prosecutions in any form by US DOJ, US ICE, IRS or related Agencies, in any matters since Transactional Immunity attaches from the Immunity granted to the vendors and US Government Agencies to illegally use these stolen IP’s.

5) I declare that it is my understanding that this continuity (promulgation to users of software systems illegally using this IP) and effect of this IMMUNITY was discussed in the USDC 14-03629/WHA matter in both open court and in filings pertaining to unlawful uses of the IP inside the FIVE EYES SURVEILLANCE System and its operations.

6) I declare as a participant and principal in the USDC 14-03629 Matter that “The JUDGE IN 14-03629/WHA , his Honor William Alsup, ‘ruled all matters pertaining to Immunity would remain intact and those Immunities would persist for those Clients inside the US’”. I declare further that “This ruling, and ***its unintended consequences in this matter*** was affirmed by both the Ninth

Circuit in 1-17574, and in the DC Circuit in 15-01326”. As such it applies to each and every Evidence Sample collected and submitted to the DC Circuit Courts by the FBI or other Law Enforcement Agencies.

- 7) I declare that it is my understanding that “The Effect on the BUTINA matter is simple
1. A) ANY AND ALL EVIDENCE OBTAINED THROUGH THE USE OF ELECTRONIC DEVICES AND ELECTRONIC INTERNET OR EMAIL SYSTEMS, AS WELL AS THAT OF PHONE SURVEILLANCE, ALL OF WHICH RELY ON US6370629 AND ITS IMMUNITY, PREVENTS ITS USE IN THIS MATTER FULLY.
  2. B) ANY AND ALL EVIDENCE AND MATERIALS BEING USED TO OBTAIN WARRANTS IN THIS MATTER, HAVE TRANSACTIONAL IMMUNITY PROTECTING THEIR STANDING, MAKING THEIR USE IN A FISA MATTER OR ANY INTELLIGENCE RELATED MATTER (INCLUDING HEARINGS BEFORE HOUSE INTELLIGENCE OR US SENATE INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES EQUALLY ILLEGAL”.
- 8) Further, I declare that “Because this was apparently CLASSIFIED UNDER NATIONAL SECURITY umbrella’s in the early 2000’s it was not available for Counsel to use in **Motions to Suppress** and **Summary Dismissal of Claims** based on the suppression of all evidence illegally submitted by US DOJ in this matter”. Further, because the EVIDENCE submitted in the Charging and Detention Briefs is legally inadmissible, it cannot be used in any proceedings, let alone this one.
- 9) Further, I declare “That the US DOJ and the FBI itself are very aware of this matter, and the effects of the Transactional Immunity and Full international Immunity granted by US DOJ in the

US6370629 patent fraud matter”. As such, based on review of the Courts filings in this matter, the FBI Counter Intelligence Agent, Kevin Helson, who filed and attested to the FBI’s petition for a Criminal Complaint herein, failed to notify the Court that the US DOJ, and FBI itself are actively aware of their limitations in collecting and presenting any evidence effected by that Transactional Immunity in this or any other Criminal Matter before the US District Court.

10) Further, I declare that the US DOJ and FBI itself provide Criminal Immunity to those unauthorized uses, including their own illegal uses of said same properties. As such “all evidence collected by the FBI Counter Intelligence Unit and presented to the Court herein, carried those Criminal and Civil Immunities all parties using the US6370629 IP illegally stolen (appropriated) by USG in the matter of Glassey and McNeil v US Government et al (14-03629/WHA)”.

**In closing**

It is in the Interest of Justice that this material must now be raised and those motions submitted to the Court for immediate review and orders dismissing as well as releasing Maria Butina so she may leave the Country where she has been so wrongly prosecuted, and return to her home, safe and secure.

**Closing Perjury Declaration under US Federal Perjury Statute**

I declare the preceding 10 numbered declarations prior to the IN CLOSING STATEMENT are made under the penalty of perjury of the Laws of the United States of America, and that they are true and correct, and with regard to matters which I rely on Information and Belief, that they are also true and correct. Electronically executed Tuesday October 2<sup>nd</sup>.

**Witness my eSignature and affirmation of Perjury Declaration at the top of this document for the 10 (ten) statements made herein**

**/s/ Todd S. Glassey, 02.10.18**

*pro se* Plaintiff and Appellant in USDC 14-03629/WHA and appeals 9<sup>th</sup> Cir 14-14574, and DC Cir 15-01326